NOTES ON THE CLASSIFICATION AND NOMENCLATURE OF THE HEMIPTEROUS SUPERFAMILY MIROIDEA.

BY G. W. KIRKALDY, HONOLULU, H. I.

Since the final impression of my "List of the genera of the Pagiopodous Hemiptera Heteroptera," etc. (a), I have received from my friend, Dr. O. M. Reuter, a very valuable summary of his most recent thoughts on the Classification of the Miridæ (b).

Dr. Reuter's polemic has been evoked by the Hemipterous work of Mr. Distant, particularly that dealing with the Miridæ (or "Capsidæ") in the Biologia Centrali-Americana, Heteroptera, Vol. I, and the Fauna of British India, Rhynchota, Vol. II. In the latter Mr. Distant avers that Reuter's classification of the Miridæ "is more reflective of personal opinion, and contrived for the purposes of entomological arrangement, than exhibiting an evolutionary or philosophical conception" (pp. 412-3), and thereupon divides the Miridæ into two subfamilies, characterized by the presence or absence of "a longitudinal incision or sulcation on the upper surface" of the head (!).

Reuter declares that this emphatic judgment is as unjustified as it is untrue, and that it is, at least, unseemly for an author whose studies on Hemipterous systematics are so superficial as are those of Mr. Distant, to pass so judicial a sentence.

The learned Finlander proceeds to refute Mr. Distant in great detail, first tracing the evolution of our knowledge of the classification, from. Fieber, in 1858, onwards; he next discusses, at considerable length, various salient points in the characteristic structure of the family, and presents two new synopses, and a genealogical tree, of the divisions. This "Classification" is without doubt one of the most important of the Heteropterous memoirs that has appeared for a long time, and represents the almost mature fruits of Dr. Reuter's many years of assiduous devotion to his favourite family. It is impossible to summarize here the fifty-eight pages, further than to reproduce, in English, the analytical table of the accepted divisions; the form of the table has been altered, while preserving its matter. The tribe Lygæoscytini (c) and the genus

⁽a) Tr. Amer. Ent. Soc., XXXII, 117-56 (1906).

⁽b) "Hemipterologische Spekulationen, I, Die Klassifikation der Capsiden," Festschr. für Palmén, No. 1, pp. 1–58, and a genealogical Table. [Dated 1905, at Helsingfors, but probably not issued till 1906.]

⁽c) I prefer the ending "ini" to "aria," as more in line with general nomenclature,

Oligobiella are not yet sufficiently studied. I have not at the moment any examples of Sulamita before me, and therefore cannot add to Reuter's remarks on the Sulamitini.

examples of buttiment before me, and therefore cannot add to Realer's		
remarks on the Sulamitini.		
1. Third segment of tarsi linear (very rarely—in Hypselæcini—slightly		
thickened towards the apex). Apical margin of pronotum neither		
hood-like nor cystiformly elevated		
1a. Third segment of tarsi thickened towards the apex, or apical margin		
of pronotum hood-like or cystiformly widened. First segment of		
tarsi deeply sulcate. Tibiæ always unarmed. Wing cell without		
hook. Prosternal-xyphus margined14.		
2. Prosternal-xyphus swollen, rarely with two impressions (Boopi-		
docorini). Wing-cell usually with a hook. Pronotum without		
apical constriction. Loræ narrow, sharply separated above and		
below		
2a. Prosternal-xyphus margined (d)6.		
3. Arolia fused with the claws, sometimes very small or absent4.		
3a. Arolia free, inwardly arched. Genæ high. Wing-cell with hook		
3b. Arolia wanting or very delicate. Wing-cell with or without hook.		
Apical margin of pronotum with an impressed, more or less wide		
(never swollen and smooth) margin 5, Camptotylini (Exæretaria).		
4. Wing-cell with hook5.		
[*] 4a. Wing cell without hook		
5. Pronotum not, or very finely,		
punctured, t, Chlamydatini (Plagiognatharia).		
5a. Pronotum coarsely punctured. Tarsi very long. Eyes very large.		
Vertical margin keeled		
6. Arolia fused with the claws, or at least approximate to these, sometimes rudimentary. Wing-cell with hook. Lore narrow, above		
and below sharply separated. Pronotum without apical		
constriction		
6a. Arolia free, converging towards the apex or parallel, sometimes		
absent (rarely in some <i>Macrolophini</i> fused with the claws)7.		
6b. Arolia always present, free, diverging towards the apex, and slightly		

⁽d). Only in the aberrant Stethoconus, Flor. (Campyloneurini), and Histriocoris, Reuter (Capsini), strongly convex.

widened12.

7.	Arolia free, converging towards the apex. Wing-cell without a
	hook. Pronotum without apical constriction. Genæ very rarely
	high. Loræ sometimes separated also beneath. Eyes inwardly
	mostly emarginate. Tibiæ slender, typically without
	punctures
7a.	Arolia free, converging towards the apex or wanting. Wing-cell very rarely without a hook. Pronotum with apical constriction
	(which is sometimes hidden under the posterior margin of the
	posteriorly-produced hind margin of the vertex). Lore narrow,
	sharply separated above and beneath
7b.	Arolia very delicate, or absent (sometimes clearer in some Macrolo-
,	phini, but fused with the short claws). [Wing cell without hook.
	Pronotum with apical constriction (e)]
7 C.	Arolia free, converging towards the apex, or parallel. Wing-cell
	very rarely with hook. Pronotum without apical constriction.
	Vertex wide. Genæ high. Rostrum strong 11.
8.	Head elongate, feebly declivous. Loræ sharply separated above
	and beneath, narrow. Tarsi very slender. Sides of pronotum
	acute, at least posteriorly
8a,	Head vertical 9.
9.	Head not strongly elongate ventrally. Clypeus not humpily swollen
	in the middle
9a.	Head ventrally strongly elongate. Clypeus humpily swollen in the
	middle. Genæ very high. Neck very short. Tarsi slender, first
	segment long
ΙΟ.	Arolia very delicate, or fused with the short claws. Love sharply separated above and below,
	narrow
ıoa.	
II.	Body generally robust, never constricted in the middle. Loræ
	generally separated above and below, but wide. Tibiæ often
	robust. Membrane with two cells 12, Halticini (Laboparia).
па.	Body generally narrow, constricted in the middle. Loræ separated
	only above. Tegmina wings usually very rudimentary. Mem-
	brane of macropterous form without cells, with irregular
	nerves 13, Myrmecophyini.

⁽e) Added in MS. by Dr. Reuter.

- 12. Wing-cell with or without a hook. Pronotum with thick apical constriction. Callosities limiting the constriction posteriorly, as long as the latter. Head vertical, genæ high15, Restheniini.
- constriction or with a "spurious stricture." Sides acute, at least anteriorly. First segment of antennæ and tarsi long...16, Mirini.

II.

The following additions and emendations to my "List" are necessary. I regret that Reuter's "Classification" was not published earlier, but although some shifting of the group constituents of my list will take place, the references to type fixations will remain practically unchanged, so that its prime purpose is fulfilled. The chief disturbances are in tribe 13. in which Reuter leaves only Cylapus and Vannius, removing almost all the rest to the Bryocorini.

P. 119, gen. 1, for "Dolichomerius" read "-merus."

P. 120, gen. 15, is dated 1871.

P. 121; gen. 29, add "(*Odontobrachis*, Reuter, 1884, A. S. S. Fenn., xiv, 203").

P. 121, gen. 31, after "Mulsant" add "and Rey."

P. 122, for "Plagiogastharia" read "Plagiognatharia."

- P. 123, gen. 18, the correct citation is "Reuter, 1875, Bih. Vet. Ak. Handl., iii, 57, type onustus (Fieber), Reut., 1878, A. S. S. Fenn., xiii, pt. 1, Pl. 4, f. 3, and Pl. 1, f. 2."
- P. 124, gen. 32, make this a synonym of *Reuteroscopus*, Kirkaldy, 1905, Wien. Ent. Zeit., xxiv, 268.
- P. 124, gen. 36 belongs to the Capsini.
- P. 124, add "44" before "Phylus."
- P. 125, for "Oncotylini" read "Xenocorini."
- P. 126, gen. 16, read "Malthacosoma."
- P. 127, gen. 11, add as synonym, No. 51, on p. 138.
- P. 128, gen. 24, for "Bull. Soc. Nat., Moscou (sep.?)" read "Mel. Ent., ii p."
- P. 128, gen. 28, add as synonym "Schistonotellus, Reuter, 1905, Oefv. Vet. Förh., xlvii, No. 20, p. 32" (dromedarius, f. 15a).
- P. 128, gen. 32, remove to tribe 6 Cremnorrhinini (p. 129).
- P. 129, for "Campyloneurini" read "Macrolophini," and for "Cremnorrhini" read "Cremnorrhinini."
- P. 130, add genera 17, 18 and 20, on pp. 136--7, to the Halticini.
- P. 130, note 5, line 4, for "260" read 206." N. B.—Pp. 1-190, or the second half, of Reuter's "Rev. Crit. Caps.," appear to be a reprint of the Hem. Gymn. Scand," without the Plate.
- P. 131, gen. 17, Reuter removes this to the Bryocorini.
- P. 132, line 14, for "oschanıni" read "oschannini."
- P. 132, gen. 6, for "?" read 10," and delete "(separate?)."
- P. 133, gen. 11, Reuter considers that this is probably a Heterotomine.
- P. 133, gen. 26 and 28, remove to Capsini.
- P. 133, gen 29, for albofasciatus read unifasciatus.
- P. 134, tribe Cylapini; Reuter removes 3 to the Capsini, retains 1 and 4 in the Cylapini, and most (or all) of the rest to the Bryocorini. I regret I cannot altogether follow him in that.
- P. 134, gen. 7, add as a synonym, gen. 24 (p. 146).
- P. 134, gen. 18. The following is appended to render valid the genus Sahlbergella: "Closely allied to Deimatostages, Kuhlgatz, but the pronotum and scutellum are not tuberculate, the head is more declivous, and the form of the pronotum and scutellum in profile is different."
- P. 134, gen. 19, for *Odoniella*, "Haglund," read "Reuter, 1905, Oefv. Finsk. Förh., xlvii, No. 10, p. 2, type *Reuteri* (Haglund), Reuter.

- P. 136, gen. 10, add (" Dyoncus, Fieber, 1860, Eur. Hem., 67").
- P. 136, gen. 13, read "Resthenia, Spin., etc., subgenus 1, Platytylus, Fieber, etc., = Callichila, Reuter, etc." Reuter forms a division (Resthenini) from this and Mimoncopeltus (= Lygdus).
- P. 136, gen. 5, to *Lopistus*, add as synonym, †"*Capsodes*, Dahlbom, 1851. K. Vet. Ak. Handl. (for 1850), 214 (not descr.)."
- Pp. 136-7, remove gen. 17, 18 and 20 to Halticini.
- P. 137, gen. 30. Reuter thinks this may belong to the Pilophorini.
- P. 137, gen. 31. Reuter forms a division (Garganini) from this.
- P. 138, gen. 44. According to Reuter (1905) Pantiliodes (p. 136, gen. 6) is a synonym of Creontiades.
- P. 139, add as 68a Liozoridia, Reuter, 1903, Oefv. Finsk. Vet. Förh, xlv., No. 16, p. 13 (= Liocoridea, Reuter, 1906, Yezh. Zool. Mus. Imp. Nauk., Peterb., X, 51). type Mutabilis, Reuter, Pl. 2, f. 4, = Gismunda, Distant, p. 140 (gen. 91).
- P. 140, gen. 80, read "Charagochilus."
- P. 141, gen. 101, remove to Macrolophini (p. 129).
- P. 141, gen. 98. for "n.n." read '1906 (June), T. N. Zealand Inst., xxxviii, 62." [The Tr. Am. Ent. Soc., xxxii, p. 141, is dated "May," but was not published till at least August.]
- P. 142, gen 113, read "Thyrillus."
- P. 142, gen. 119 and 120, Callicratides, Distant, is a synonym of Hyalopeplus, Stal.
- P. 143, gen. 131, add "fig. 2."
- P. 143, gen. 139, read "Costa, 1841, A. S. E., France, X, 294, type *italicum*. Costa, 1855 (?), Atti Nap., 251, Pl. 2, f. 1 = *Gryllo-coris*," etc.
- P. 145, add gen. 24, Saturniomiris, Kirkaldy, 1992, T. E. S., London, 268, type tristis (Walker), Kirk.
- P. 145, gen. 5. Reuter places this in the Palophorini.
- P. 145, the date of "Heidemannia" is 1891.
- P. 146. Reuter places *Thaumastomiris* and *Perissebasis* in the Bryocorini.
- P. 146. Ambracius is placed in the Clivinemini (p. 135) by Reuter, who incorrectly spells it ambrocius and ambrosius. Opellus (No. 7) belongs there also. Genus 8 should be deleted. The date of Stal's genera in K. Sv. Ak. Handl., 2, pt. 7, is 1860.
- P. 146, gen. 15, the preoccupied name Lygdus should be superseded by Mimoncopeltus, nov.

- P. 146, gen. 16 and 17 are placed by Reuter in the Bryocorini, 18 and 19 in the Macrolophini.
- P. 147, add "Family 34, Polyctenidæ.
 - "Genus 1. *Polyctenes*, Giglioli, 1864, Q. Journ. Micr. Sci., IV, 25, type *molossus*, Gigl., Pl. Ib., figs. 13-14.
 - "Genus 2. Euroctenes, gen. nov., type lyræ (C. O. Waterh., 1879, T. E. S., London, Pl. IX, figs 1-2).
 - "Genus 3. Eoctenes, gen. nov., type spasmæ (C. O. W., op. c., figs. 3-4).
 - "Genus 4. Hesperoctenes, gen. nov., type fumarius (Westw., 1874, Thesaurus Ent. Oxon., Pl. 38)."

The characters of these four genera have been indicated by various authors, but only one, *Polyctenes*, has been named:

- ta. Neogæic forms; posterior legs as long as the bug; claws nearly equal, with a small tooth at the base , Hesperoctenes, mihi-
- 2a. Antennæ shorter, third and fourth segments about equally

long....., Eoctenes, mihi.

3. Head medianly wider than long. Pronotum

transverse..... 3, Polyctenes, Gigl.

3a. Head medianly longer than wider. Pronotum

P. 147, delete entry (on p. 147 only) of Family 5, and read: "Family 5, Dipsocoride (Monogr., as *Ceratocombidae*, Reuter, 1891, Act. Soc. Sci., Fenn., XIX, No. 6, pp. 1-28, Pl.).

Subfamily 1, Dipsocorinæ.

- "Genus I. Lichenobia, Bærensprung, 1857, Berlin Ent Zeit., I, 165 (= Ceratocombus, Fieber, 1860), Wien. Ent. Mon. IV, 267, type muscorum, Fall. (= Coleoptrata, Zeit.), type ferruginea, Baer. (= Coleoptrata, Zeit.), fig., Signoret, 1852, A. S. E., France (2) X. Pl. 16, f. 3, as Astemma Mulsanti."
- N. B.—Ceratocombus was only named, not described, by Signoret.
- P. 148, line 3, read "Trichotonannus."
- P. 148, date of footnote 20 is 1836.

P. 126, gen. 28, make this a synonym of *Lopus*, Hahn, 1833, Wanz. Ins.,
I, 143, Pl. 1, f. 4, type *Chrysanthemi*, Hahn (= decolor, Fall).
P. 146. add Tribe 27, Lygæoscytini.

Genus 1. Lygaeoscytus, Reuter, 1893, E. M. M., xxix, 151, type cimicoides, Reuter, fig.

P. S.—I have just received the 3rd volume of Distant's "Fauna of British India, Rhynchota" (1906), wherein his doubtful Anthocorid genera are figured as follows:

Ostorodias, f. 1; Arnulphus, f. 2; Amphiareus, f. 3; Lippomanus, f. 4; Sesellius, f. 6. Euspudæus, Reuter, is also figured (f. 5), also the following Water-bugs: Cheirochela feana (16), Gestroiella (17), Heleocoris strabus (19), and Ctenipocoris (20). I find I omitted from my list the Naucorid Thurselinus, Distant, 1904, Entom., xxxvii, 259, type Greeni (figured F. B. I., f. 21).

QUEBEC BRANCH—ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF ONTARIO.

The Ninth Annual Meeting of the Quebec Branch was held at the house of the President, Rev. Dr. Fyles, Levis, P. Q, on Oct. 13, 1906—

twelve present.

Dr. Fyles described an excursion that he had made through the border townships which had been ravaged some years ago by the Larch Saw-fly, *Nematus Erichsonii*, Hart. He found that in all that section of the country there was not a first-growth tamarack left, and that most of those of a later growth were also destroyed; their places were now taken by a new growth of balsam, poplar, spruce and birch, varying with the nature of the soil. He also exhibited a fine nest of the wasp *Vespa arenaria*, Fab., which he had found in an open field, an inch or so from the ground, supported by some grass stems and that of an aster. It resembled a round stone or a large puff ball, and contained a surprisingly large number of cells. A female emerged from one of the cells on Sept. 9.

Miss Freeman exhibited a number of beautiful and interesting specimens taken at Lorette, P. Q., and mentioned having found about a dozen butterflies, *Eugonia J-album*, in an unoccupied room, where they

evidently intended to pass the winter.

Lt.-Colonel Lindsay gave an interesting account of a Caddis-fly, frequenting lakes and streams, which he found very abundant in August. It is preyed upon by both trout and insectivorous birds, so that between the crop of the bird and the maw of the fish—its Scylla and Charybdis—the unlucky insect finds it difficult to steer its course.

The following officers were elected for the coming year: President, Rev. Dr. Fyles; Vice-President, Mrs. Richard Turner; Secretary-Treasurer, Lt.-Colonel Crawford Lindsay; Council, Hon. Richard Turner, Mr. J. H.

Simmons, Miss Bickell, Miss Freeman, and Miss Hedge.